Extending the stroke treatment window beyond DAWN in patients with very slow progressor type collaterals: How far can we go?
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Five trials published in 2015 showed the benefit of endovascular thrombectomy (ET) in patients with stroke and large vessel occlusion, extending the treatment window has become an obsession of all physicians. In 2018, the DAWN and DEFUSE-3 trials showed that, with careful selection of patients, the procedure could be carried out up to 24 hours after symptom onset with good outcomes. In addition, there have been cases where the DAWN criteria were met, and treatment occurred >24 hours after symptom onset. We present the case of a 68-year-old female whose groin puncture occurred 52 hours after the time last known well (TLKW), after neurological worsening of the initial situation, with a large mismatch ratio observed on magnetic resonance imaging, achieving TICI (the Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction scale) grade 3 recanalization. Five days after the procedure, the patient was discharged with NIHSS (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale) score of 3. Some types of collateral circulation (slow progressors and “turtle” progressors, our term for very slow progressors) can extend the treatment window beyond 24 hours of the TLKW but can lead to a hyperperfusion-like syndrome immediately after the ET. Further studies are needed to evaluate the reproducibility of this hypothetical syndrome.
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INTRODUCTION

The treatment of stroke patients with large vessel occlusion (LVO) has completely changed after five randomized controlled trials demonstrated the superiority of endovascular thrombectomy (ET) over medical management within the first 6 hours of symptoms.3481013

The importance of extending the therapeutic window for stroke has been known since 2008.9 In 2018, the DAWN11 and DEFUSE-3 trials2 demonstrated the effectiveness of ET 6-24 hours from the time last known well (TLKW) in selected patients with mismatch between the clinical deficit severity and infarct core volume.

The type of collateral circulation in each patient can lead to either a large or small ischemic core. Patients in whom a large ischemic core is observed, have a “faster progressor” type; those with a small core have a “slow progressor” type, in which good collaterals are maintained.9 The type of collateral circulation and consequently the rate of progression can influence the volume of ischemic core and the effectiveness of ET in patients beyond the conventional time window.

There are case series7 and case reports114 showing good outcomes in patients treated beyond the 24-hour limits of the DAWN trial.

The aim of this article is to report the case of a patient who underwent ET 52 hours after the onset of symptoms, who evolved with significant neurological improvement, despite a possible hyperperfusion-like syndrome. We also conducted a brief literature review on the topic.

CASE REPORT

A 68-year-old female previously modified Rankin Scale 0 developed mild aphasia with an unknown TLKW; her daughter first observed this in the morning, while talking on the phone with the patient. Seven hours after that, the daughter visited the patient at home; the mild aphasia persisted and so they went to the hospital.

The NIHSS (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale) score at admission was 4. Computed tomography (CT) angiography revealed a left M1 occlusion (Fig. 1). Because of the low NIHSS score and almost 7 hours after the ictus, no venous thrombolysis or interventional treatment was proposed. At that time, aspirin was prescribed.

Fifty-one hours after the TLKW, the patient had an NIHSS score of 11; a perfusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed an ischemic core volume of 8 ml with a large mismatch ratio observed, and therefore, an ET was proposed (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. Cranial CT angiography showing a left M1 occlusion (yellow arrow in A and B) with good collateral circulation to the left cerebral hemisphere (C). A–coronal view; B and C–axial view. CT, computed tomography.
Groin puncture occurred 52 hours after the TLKW; subsequently a direct-aspiration first-pass technique (ADAPT) was used and one pass resulted in a thrombolysis in cerebral infarction scale (TICI) grade 3 recanalization.

The day after the procedure, an NIHSS score of 16 was observed; no hemorrhagic signals were detected by CT.

Five days after the procedure, the patient had an NIHSS score of 3 with a mild dysarthria and partial facial palsy. She was discharged to another hospital.

The patient is followed up on an outpatient basis. In the 6-month evaluation, the patient still presents with an NIHSS score of 2, being capable of self-care (Modified Rankin Scale 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This kind of case raises a huge discussion about whether patients can be treated outside of the standard therapeutic window and whether the type of collateral circulation...
can extend this window. It is already known that < 30% of patients with LVO have a “slow progressor” type and can benefit substantially from ET after 8 hours. This was demonstrated in the DAWN\(^1\) and DEFUSE-3\(^2\) trials.

Our case presents that some patients might have a very slow progressor type, which we name “turtle” progressor type. These patients could possibly benefit from ET beyond the DAWN trial criteria ( > 24 hours) in highly selected cases. This progressor type might exist where there is an exceptional capacity to sustain collateral blood flow, and where the ischemic core does not become enlarged, protecting the penumbra area.

Another interesting point which is seen in this case is a major worsening the day after treatment (NIHSS score of 11 to 16) without hemorrhagic transformation despite successful endovascular treatment (TICI 3). In addition to the Contrast-induced encephalopathy already described by some authors,\(^3\) it could be that patients with the slow progressor type, and in our case the “turtle” progressor type, may suffer a cerebral hyperperfusion-like syndrome when a TICI grade 3 recanalization is achieved after LVO. Because recanalization changes the low-pressure cerebral flow in the microcirculation (collateral flow) to a high-pressure flow, a hyperperfusion-like syndrome that we call “collateral syndrome” could occur. This event needs to be evaluated in other patients with the collateral profile of slow progressor and “turtle” progressor to analyze the reproducibility of this kind of “collateral syndrome”.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This is a rare case demonstrating a very late thrombectomy 52 hours after the TLKW with a good recovery observed 5 days after the procedure.

In some cases, there appears to be a direct relationship between some types of collateral circulation (slow progressor and “turtle” progressor) and extension of the treatment window. Patients with these progressor types can experience a hyperperfusion-like syndrome (“collateral syndrome”) after the recanalization of an LVO, which can lead to clinical worsening in the 48 hours immediately after the ET. However, further studies are needed in order to evaluate the reproducibility of this hypothetical syndrome.
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